

Lecture 9: Sep 20, 2022

Lecturer: Eshan Chattopadhyay

Scribe: Kevin Yu

In today's lecture, we continue our study of error-correcting codes.

1 The Singleton Bound

We establish a simple trade-off between dimension and distance that any linear code satisfies.

Theorem 1.1. *Any $[n, k, d]_q$ code must satisfy*

$$k + d \leq n + 1 \quad (1)$$

Proof: Let C be an $[n, k, d]_q$ code. Let code C_1 be almost the same as C except with the first symbol removed from each codeword. The block size will now be of size $n - 1$. The Hamming distance will now be at least $d - 1$. This is because we are only removing one bit (one less possible differing bit) from the codewords produced by C .

$$C^1 \rightarrow [n - 1, k, \geq d - 1]_q \quad (2)$$

We can now remove the first i symbols to produce code C^i

$$C^i \rightarrow [n - i, k, \leq d - i] \quad (3)$$

Choose $i = d - 1$

$$C^{d-1} \rightarrow [n - (d - 1), k, 1] \quad (4)$$

The block size must be greater than or equal to the dimension of the code which gives us the singleton bound.

$$\begin{aligned} n - (d - 1) &\geq k \\ k + d &\leq n + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This makes sense conceptually because as the number of messages increases, the more codewords you need to pack into \mathbb{F}^n , and thus the distance must decrease.

2 Welch-Berlekamp Algorithm

Recall the Reed Solomon code: given a message $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{F}^k$, we view it as the polynomial $P_{\vec{a}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i x^i$. Fix $S = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n\}$, and the codeword is produced as follows

$$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}) \rightarrow (P_a(\varphi_1), P_a(\varphi_2), \dots, P_a(\varphi_n)) = c \in \mathbb{F}^n \quad (6)$$

The codeword is now sent through a noisy channel that makes e errors (i.e., changes at most e symbols).

$$c \xrightarrow{\text{noisy channel}} c' \in \mathbb{F}^n$$

We can represent c' as a function f that evaluates to the corresponding symbols in c' on all the points in S

$$f : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \quad \forall i \in [n], f(\varphi_i) = c'_i$$

A key definition towards developing the algorithm for error-correcting RS codes is the following:

Definition 2.1. An Error-Locator Polynomial is a polynomial E is such that

$$E(x) = 0 \iff f(x) \neq P_{\bar{a}}(x)$$

In other words, E has a root whenever f and $P_{\bar{a}}$ differ.

Observation 2.2. There exists a polynomial E of degree equal to e (the number of errors).

Proof: Let $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_e\}$ be the locations of the errors (all x where $f(x) \neq P_{\bar{a}}(x)$)

$$E(x) = \prod_{i=1}^e (x - \beta_i)$$

The following is a simple but key identity.

Observation 2.3. $\forall x \in S, f(x)E(x) = P_{\bar{a}}(x)E(x)$

When $f(x)$ and $P_{\bar{a}}(x)$ differ, $E(x) = 0$ so the equality holds. When $f(x)$ and $P_{\bar{a}}(x)$ agree, the equality also clearly holds.

Now that we have proven the existence of E let

$$E(x) = \sum_{i=1}^e \gamma_i x^i$$

$$P_{\bar{a}}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i x^i$$

We can now construct a system of equations by plugging in the values in S .

$$\forall x \in S, \quad f(x)E(x) = P_{\bar{a}}(x)E(x) \tag{7}$$

Notice that this is a quadratic system of equations, and in general this is NP-hard. There is a clever way to avoid this that will result in an efficient algorithm.

The Welch-Berlekamp Algorithm

On input c' or f , let $N(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{e+k-1} n_i x^i$.

Let $E(x) = \sum_{i=0}^e \gamma_i x^i$.

Solve for $\{n_i, \gamma_i\}$ in the following system of equations

$$\forall x \in S, \quad f(x)E(x) = N(x) \tag{8}$$

Output $p = N/E$.

Proof: We start off by observing that by choosing $E^* = \prod_{i=1}^e (x - \beta_i)$ and $N^* = P_{\bar{a}}(x)E(x)$,

indeed N^*, E^* satisfy $\forall x \in S, f(x)E^*(x) = N^*(x)$.

Thus, if we can show that for any (N_1, E_1) and (N_2, E_2) that satisfy

$$f(x)E(x) = N(x) \quad (9)$$

then $N_1/E_1 = N_2/E_2$, the correctness of the algorithm will follow.

Let $Q \equiv N_1(x)E_2(x) - N_2(x)E_1(x)$.

$$\forall y \in S, N_1(y)E_2(y) = f(y)E_1(y)E_2(y) = E_1(y)f(y)E_2(y) = E_1(y)N_2(y) \quad (10)$$

All $x \in S$ are roots of Q (recall $|S| = n$). Additionally, we note that $\deg(Q) \leq 2e + k - 1$.

Recall that the Reed Solomon code has distance $d = n - (k - 1)$, and thus combinatorially we can only correct codes up with e less than $\frac{d}{2}$. So $e < \frac{n - (k - 1)}{2}$, or $2e + k - 1 < n$.

$$\deg(Q) \leq 2e + k - 1 < n \quad (11)$$

Q has n roots but has degree less than n so Q must be the zero polynomial. This means $N_1/E_1 = N_2/E_2$, which finishes the proof of correctness.

3 Reed-Muller Codes

We now introduce a multivariate version of the Reed Solomon code.

Definition 3.1. *The Reed-Muller code with m variables and degree r , $RS(m, r)_2$ is constructed using a multivariate polynomial of at degree at most r .*

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{I \subseteq [m], |I| \leq r} a_I x^I, \quad (12)$$

where $x^I = \prod_{i \in I} x_i$
and $a_I \in \mathbb{F}_2$

The number of coefficients is

$$\sum_{i=0}^r \binom{m}{i} = \binom{m}{\leq r}$$

The message is the vector of coefficients. To encode a message, we evaluate P at all points of \mathbb{F}_2^m . Thus, the block length of the code is 2^m . Note that the Reed-Muller code is linear.

The Reed-Muller code is a $[2^m, \binom{m}{\leq r}, d]$ code, where we will see later that $d = 2^{m-r}$.

Definition 3.2. *The Hadamard Code is a special case of a Reed Muller Code, where we set $r = 1$.*

Thus, the Hadamard Code is a $[2^m, m, 2^{m-1}]$ code. It is not hard to see that our construction of a pairwise independent distribution (from an earlier class) is simply to output a random codeword of the Hadamard code.