

## Lecture 8: September 24th

Lecturer: Eshan Chattopadhyay

Scribe: Rishi Advani

## 8.1 Expanders - Explicit constructions

In this lecture, we formulate ways to take products of graphs to construct larger expander graphs.

New notation: Let a  $(N, D, \gamma)$ -graph be a  $(N, \gamma)$  spectral expander that is  $D$ -regular.

Our approach: start with small graphs and iteratively construct larger graphs.

## 8.2 Squaring Graphs

Intuitively, squaring is just 2 hops on the original graph. Note that self loops and multiple edges are allowed in squared graphs.

Formally, if we have a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , let  $G^2 = (V, E')$  be a graph such that, for all  $v$  in  $V$ , the  $(i, j)$ th neighbor of  $v$  is the  $j$ th neighbor of the  $i$ th neighbor of  $v$ , where  $i, j \in [D]$  (are numbers from 1 to  $D$ ).

This operation doesn't add any nodes, and it squares the number of edges.  $A^2$  is the normalized adjacency/random-walk matrix of  $G^2$ . Hence,  $\lambda(G^2) = \lambda(G)^2$ .

- degree increases :(
- nodes remain same :/
- expansion improves :)

## 8.3 Tensor Products

For  $V$  in  $R_n$ ,  $W$  in  $R_m$ , the tensor product of  $V$  and  $W$  is denoted as  $Z = V \otimes W \in R_{nm}$ . It is a generalization of the outer product.

For two vectors, we define their tensor product to be a matrix, such that  $z_{ij} = v_i w_j, i \in [n], j \in [m]$ . For two matrices  $A \in R_{n_1} \times R_{n_2}$ ,  $B \in R_{m_1} \times R_{m_2}$ , the entries of the tensor product  $C = A \otimes B$  are as follows:

$$C_{i_1 i_2 j_1 j_2} = A_{i_1 j_1} B_{i_2 j_2}$$

Some properties of tensor products:

1.  $A \otimes (B + C) = A \otimes B + A \otimes C$
2. in general,  $A \otimes B \neq B \otimes A$
3.  $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC \otimes BD)$  if  $AC$  and  $BD$  are defined by the standard rules of matrix multiplication

$$4. (A \otimes B)^T = A^T \otimes B^T$$

With property number 3, if  $A_{n \times n}$  and  $B_{m \times m}$  are matrices and  $C_n$  and  $D_m$  are vectors,  $A$  effectively acts just on  $C$  and  $B$  just on  $D$ . This is a major part of the intuition for why tensor products can be useful.

## 8.4 Tensor Products of Graphs

Suppose we have  $G_1, G_2$ , such that:

$G_1$  is an  $(N_1, D_1, \gamma_1)$ -graph, and its adjacency matrix is  $M_1$ .

$G_2$  is an  $(N_2, D_2, \gamma_2)$ -graph, and its adjacency matrix is  $M_2$ .

Then, we define the tensor product of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  to be  $G = G_1 \otimes G_2$ .

The adjacency matrix of  $G$  is  $M_1 \otimes M_2$ . The set of vertices of  $G$  is  $[N_1] \times [N_2]$ .  $(v, j)$  is a neighbor of  $(u, i)$  if  $(u, v)$  is in  $E_{G_1}$ ,  $(i, j)$  in  $E_{G_2}$ .

To visualize this, make 4 “clouds” that are copies of the vertices of  $G_2$ ; each cloud represents one vertex of  $G_1$ . Draw an edge between two vertices in different clouds if the vertices corresponding to the clouds in  $G_1$  are connected, and the vertices corresponding to the positions in the intra-cloud graph are connected in  $G_2$ .

Now, we analyze the spectral expansion of  $G$ .

The eigenvalues of  $A_1 \otimes A_2$  are  $\lambda_i(G_1)\lambda_j(G_2), i \in [N_1], j \in [N_2]$  – the largest eigenvalue is  $1 \cdot 1$ , so the second largest is  $1 \cdot \lambda_{G_1}$  or  $1 \cdot \lambda_{G_2}$ .

$G$  is  $(N_1N_2, D_1D_2, \min(\gamma_{G_1}, \gamma_{G_2}))$ .

- degree increases :(
- nodes increase :)
- expansion remains same :/

There is a more intuitive proof of the spectral expansion for tensor products that helps build the intuition needed to think about the zig-zag product. The rest of this scribed document will be focused on this proof.

### 8.4.1 Intuitive Proof of Spectral Expansion for Tensor Products

$$A = A_1 \otimes A_2$$

w.t.s. that  $\|Ax\| \leq \lambda\|x\|, x \perp 1_{N_1N_2}$

$x$  is a long vector, but we’ll think of it as the flattened out form of a matrix that is  $N_1 \times N_2$ . Think of  $x$  as a probability distribution; the  $i$ th row is the marginal of  $x$  on the  $i$ th cloud.

Write  $x$  as  $x^{\parallel} + x^{\perp}$ , where  $x^{\parallel}$  is parallel to  $u_{N_2}$  (where  $u$  is the normalized all-ones vector) on each cloud. Visualize  $x^{\parallel}$  and  $x^{\perp}$  as matrices of the same dimension as  $x$ .

$x^{\parallel} = y \otimes u_{N_2}$ , for some unique vector  $y$  in  $R^{N_1}$ . Note that  $y$  is perpendicular to  $u_{N_1}$ .

$$Ax^{\parallel} = (A_1 \otimes A_2)(y \otimes u_{N_2}) = (A_1y \otimes A_2u_{N_2})$$

$u_{N_2}$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue 1.

$\|Ax^{\parallel}\| = \|A_1 y\| \cdot \|u_{N_2}\|$  (operator norm is multiplicative on tensor product)

The matrix shrinks the  $L_2$  norm of the vector by its second largest eigenvalue, so we have  $\lambda_{G_1} \|y\| \cdot \|u_{N_2}\| = \lambda_{G_1} \|x^{\parallel}\|$

Now we consider  $\|Ax^{\perp}\|$ .

Each row of  $x^{\perp}$  is perpendicular to the all-ones vector. If  $A_2$  acts on  $x^{\perp}$  it will shrink each row by  $\lambda$  (i.e.  $\|A_2(x^{\perp})_1\| \leq \lambda_{G_2} \|(x^{\perp})_1\|$ ).

$\|Ax^{\perp}\| = (A_1 \otimes A_2)x^{\perp} = (A_1 \otimes I_{N_2})(I_{N_1} \otimes A_2)x^{\perp}$  because the matrices are of right dimension, so we can use the tensor property that we discussed earlier.

How does  $(I_{N_1} \otimes A_2)$  act on  $x^{\perp}$ ? Each row will be  $A_2$  times the corresponding row. It shrinks each row of  $x^{\perp}$  by  $\lambda_{G_2}$ .

$$\|Ax^{\perp}\| = \lambda_{G_2} \|A_1\| \cdot \|x^{\perp}\| \leq 1 \leq \lambda_{G_2} \|x^{\perp}\|$$

We have finished both the calculations, so we will finish the proof now. In one term we get  $\lambda_{G_1}$ , and in the other we get  $\lambda_{G_2}$ .

$\|Ax\|$  is equal to  $\|A(x^{\parallel} + x^{\perp})\|$ . By the triangle inequality,  $\|A(x^{\parallel} + x^{\perp})\| \leq \|Ax^{\parallel}\| + \|Ax^{\perp}\| \leq \lambda_{G_2} \|x^{\parallel}\| + \lambda_{G_1} \|x^{\perp}\| \leq (\lambda_{G_1} + \lambda_{G_2}) \|x\|$ .

But this is a worse bound than we promised. We promised max, not sum. In order to get a better bound, we observe that, if we can show that  $Ax^{\parallel}$  and  $Ax^{\perp}$  are orthogonal vectors, we can use the Pythagorean Theorem instead of the triangle inequality to get a stronger bound.

**Claim 8.1**  $Ax^{\parallel}$  and  $Ax^{\perp}$  are orthogonal vectors.

**Proof:**

$Ax^{\perp}$  is perpendicular to  $u_{N_2}$  on each cloud because, in the expression  $(A_1 \otimes I_{N_2})(I_{N_1} \otimes A_2)x^{\perp}$ , the application of  $A_2$  keeps the vector perpendicular, and the application of  $A_1$  replaces each cloud with a linear combination of clouds, which also preserves the orthogonality.

$Ax^{\parallel}$  remains parallel to  $u_{N_2}$  on each cloud because  $x^{\parallel} = y \otimes u_{N_2}$ ,  $Ax^{\parallel} = (A_1 \otimes A_2)(y \otimes u_{N_2}) = (A_1 y \otimes u_{N_2})$ .

Thus,  $Ax^{\parallel}$  and  $Ax^{\perp}$  are orthogonal vectors. ■

We can now give the desired stronger bound using the orthogonality of the two vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ax\|^2 &= \|Ax^{\perp}\|^2 + \|Ax^{\parallel}\|^2 \leq \lambda_{G_2}^2 \|x^{\perp}\|^2 + \lambda_{G_1}^2 \|x^{\parallel}\|^2 \leq \max\{\lambda_{G_1}, \lambda_{G_2}\}^2 (\|x^{\parallel}\|^2 + \|x^{\perp}\|^2) = \max\{\lambda_{G_1}, \lambda_{G_2}\}^2 \|x\|^2 \\ \|Ax\| &\leq \max\{\lambda_{G_1}, \lambda_{G_2}\} \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

## 8.5 Concluding Remarks

We will cover the zigzag product in the next class.