


# CS412/413

## Introduction to Compilers Radu Rugina

Lecture 18: Efficient IR Lowering  
05 Mar 04

### IR Lowering

- Use temporary variables for the translation
- Temporary variables in the Low IR store intermediate values corresponding to the nodes in the High IR



CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

2

### Lowering Methodology

- Define simple translation rules for each High IR node
  - Arithmetic:  $e1 + e2$ ,  $e1 - e2$ , etc.
  - Logic:  $e1 \text{ AND } e2$ ,  $e1 \text{ OR } e2$ , etc.
  - Array access expressions:  $e1[e2]$
  - Statements: if (e) then  $s1$  else  $s2$ , while (e)  $s1$ , etc.
  - Function calls  $f(e1, \dots, eN)$
- Recursively traverse the High IR trees and apply the translation rules
- Can handle nested expressions and statements

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

3

### Notation

- Use the following notation:
  - $T[e]$  = the low-level IR representation of high-level IR construct e
- $T[e]$  is a sequence of Low-level IR instructions
- If e is an expression (or a statement expression), it represents a value
- Denote by  $t = T[e]$  the low-level IR representation of e, whose result value is stored in t
- For variable v:  $t = T[v]$  is the copy instruction  $t = v$


CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

4

### Nested Expressions

- In these translations, expressions may be nested;
- Translation recurses on the expression structure




CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

5

### Nested Statements

- Same for statements: recursive translation




CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

6

## IR Lowering Efficiency



CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

7

## Efficient Lowering Techniques

- How to generate efficient Low IR:
  1. Reduce number of temporaries
    1. Don't use temporaries that duplicate variables
    2. Use "accumulator" temporaries
    3. Reuse temporaries in Low IR
  2. Don't generate multiple adjacent label instructions
  3. Encode conditional expressions in control flow

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

8

## No Duplicated Variables

- Basic algorithm:
  - Translation rules recursively traverse expressions until they reach terminals (variables and numbers)
  - Then translate  $t = T[v]$  into  $t = v$  for variables
  - And translate  $t = T[n]$  into  $t = n$  for constants
- Better:
  - terminate recursion one level before terminals
  - Need to check at each step if expressions are terminals
  - Recursively generate code for children only if they are non-terminal expressions

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

9

## No Duplicated Variables

- $t = T[ e1 OP e2 ]$ 
  - $t1 = T[ e1 ]$ , if  $e1$  is not terminal
  - $t2 = T[ e2 ]$ , if  $e2$  is not terminal
  - $t = x1 OP x2$
 where:
  - $x1 = t1$ , if  $e1$  is not terminal
  - $x1 = e1$ , if  $e1$  is terminal
  - $x2 = t2$ , if  $e2$  is not terminal
  - $x2 = e2$ , if  $e2$  is terminal
- Similar translation for statements with conditional expressions: if, while, switch

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

10

## Example

- $t = T[ (a+b)*c ]$
- Operand  $e1 = a+b$ , is not terminal
- Operand  $e2 = c$ , is terminal
- Translation:  $t1 = T[ e1 ]$   
 $t = t1 * c$
- Recursively generate code for  $t1 = T[ e1 ]$
- For  $e1 = a+b$ , both operands are terminals
- Code for  $t1 = T[ e1 ]$  is  $t1 = a+b$
- Final result:  $t1 = a + b$   
 $t = t1 * c$

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

11

## Accumulator Temporaries

- Use the same temporary variables for operands and result
- Translate  $t = T[ e1 OP e2 ]$  as:
  - $t = T[ e1 ]$
  - $t1 = T[ e2 ]$
  - $t = t OP t1$
- Example:  $t = T[ (a+b)*c ]$ 
  - $t = a + b$
  - $t = t * c$

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

12

## Reuse Temporaries

- **Idea:** in the translation of  $t = T[ e1 \text{ OP } e2 ]$  as:  
 $t = T[ e1 ]$ ,  $t' = T[ e2 ]$ ,  $t = t \text{ OP } t'$   
 temporary variables from the translation of  $e1$  can be reused in the translation of  $e2$
- **Observation:** temporary variables compute intermediate values, so they have limited lifetime
- **Algorithm:**
  - Use a stack of temporaries
  - This corresponds to the stack of the recursive invocations of the translation functions  $t = T[ e ]$
  - All the temporaries on the stack are alive

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

13

## Reuse Temporaries

- **Implementation:** use counter  $c$  to implement the stack
  - Temporaries  $t(0), \dots, t(c)$  are alive
  - Temporaries  $t(c+1), t(c+2), \dots$  can be reused
  - Push means increment  $c$ , pop means decrement  $c$

- In the translation of  $t(c) = T[ e1 \text{ OP } e2 ]$

$t(c) = T[ e1 ]$   
 .....  $c = c+1$

$t(c) = T[ e2 ]$   
 .....  $c = c-1$

$t(c) = t(c) \text{ OP } t(c+1)$


CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

14

## Example

- $t0 = T[ ((c*d) - (e*f)) + (a*b) ]$   
 .....  $c = 0$



CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

15

## Trade-offs

- **Benefits of fewer temporaries:**
  - Smaller symbol tables
  - Smaller analysis information propagated during dataflow analysis
- **Drawbacks:**
  - Same temporaries store multiple values
  - Some analysis results may be less precise
  - Also harder to reconstruct expression trees (more convenient for instruction selection)
- **Possible compromise:**
  - Different temporaries for intermediate values in each statement
  - Reuse temporaries for different statements

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

16

## No Adjacent Labels

- Translation of control flow constructs (if, while, switch) and short-circuit conditionals generates label instructions
- Nested if/while/switch statements and nested short-circuit AND/OR expressions may generate adjacent labels
- Simple solution: have a second pass that merges adjacent labels
  - And a third pass to adjust the branch instructions
- More efficient: **backpatching**
  - Directly generate code without adjacent label instructions
  - Code has placeholders for jump labels, fill in labels later

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

17

## Backpatching

- Keep track of the return label (if any) of translation of each High IR node:  $t, L = T[ e ]$
- No end label for a translation:  $L = \emptyset$
- Translate  $t, L = T[ e1 \text{ SC-OR } e2 ]$  as:

```

t1, L1 = T[ e1 ]
tjump t1 L
t1, L2 = T[ e2 ]
    
```

- If  $L2 = \emptyset$ :  $L$  is new label; add 'label  $L$ ' to code
- If  $L2 \neq \emptyset$ :  $L = L2$ ; don't add label instruction
- Then fill placeholder  $L$  in jump instruction and set  $L = \text{end label of the SC-OR construct}$

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

18

## Example

- $T, L = T[ (a < b) \text{ OR } (c < d \text{ OR } d < e) ]$

```

t = a < b
tjump t1 L
t, L' = T[ c < d OR d < e ]

```

```

t = c < d
fjump t L'
t = d < e
label Lend

```

- Backpatch t, L' = T[ c < d OR d < e ] : L' = Lend
- Backpatch t, L = T[ (a < b) OR (...) ] : L = L' = Lend

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

19

## Encode Booleans in Control-Flow

- Consider  $T[ \text{if } ( a < b \text{ AND } c < d ) x = y ; ]$

```

t = a < b
fjump t L1
t = c < d
label L1
fjump t L2
x = y
label L2

```

Condition:  $t = a < b \text{ AND } c < d$

Control flow: if (t) x = y

- ... can we do better?

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

20

## Encode Booleans in Control-Flow

- Consider  $T[ \text{if } ( a < b \text{ AND } c < d ) x = y ; ]$

```

t = a < b
fjump t L1
t = c < d
label L1
fjump t L2
x = y
label L2

```

```

t = a < b
fjump t L2
t = c < d
fjump t L2
x = y
label L2

```

Condition and control flow

- If  $t = a < b$  is false, program branches to label L2
- Encode  $(a < b) == \text{false}$  to branch directly to the end label

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

21

## How It Works

- For each boolean expression e:

$T[ e, L1, L2 ]$

is the code that computes e and branches to L1 if e evaluates to true, and to L2 if e evaluates to false

- New translation:  $T[ \text{if}(e) \text{ then } s ]$

```

T[ e, L1, L2 ]
label L1
T[ s ]
label L2

```

- Also remove sequences 'jump L, label L'

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

22

## Define New Translations

- Must define:

$T[ s ]$  for if, while statements  
 $T[ e, L1, L2 ]$  for boolean expressions e

- $T[ \text{if}(e) \text{ then } s1 \text{ else } s2 ]$

```

T[ e, L1, L2 ]
label L1
T[ s1 ]
jump Lend
label L2
T[ s2 ]
label Lend

```

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

23

## While Statement

- $T[ \text{while } (e) s ]$

```

label Ltest
T[ e, L1, L2 ]
label L1
T[ s ]
jump Ltest
label L2

```

- Code branches directly to end label when e evaluates to false

CS 412/413 Spring 2004

Introduction to Compilers

24

## Boolean Expression Translations

- $T[\text{true}, L1, L2 ]$  : jump L1
- $T[\text{false}, L1, L2 ]$  : jump L2
  
- $T[e1 \text{ SC-OR } e2, L1, L2 ]$ 
  - $T[e1, L1, Lnext ]$
  - label Lnext
  - $T[e2, L1, L2 ]$
  
- $T[e1 \text{ SC-AND } e2, L1, L2 ]$ 
  - $T[e1, Lnext, L2 ]$
  - label Lnext
  - $T[e2, L1, L2 ]$