### CS412/413

### Introduction to Compilers Radu Rugina

Lecture 18: Control Flow Graphs 29 Feb 02

## **Optimizations**

- Code transformations to improve program
  - Mainly: improve execution time
  - Also: reduce program size
- · Can be done at high level or low level
  - E.g. constant folding
- Optimizations must be safe
  - Execution of transformed code must yield same results as the original code for all possible executions

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

# **Optimization Safety**

- Safety of code transformations usually requires certain information which may not explicit in the code
- Example: dead code elimination
  - (1) x = y + 1;
  - (2) y = 2 \* z;
  - (3) x = y + z;
  - (4) z = x;
  - (5) z = 1;
- What statements are dead and can be removed?

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

# **Optimization Safety**

- Safety of code transformations usually requires certain information which may not explicit in the code
- Example: dead code elimination
  - (1) x = y + 1
  - (2) y = 2 \* z;
  - (3) x = y + z;
  - (4) z = x; (5) z = 1;
- Need to know what values assigned to x at (1) is never used later (i.e. x is dead at statement (1))
  - Obvious for this simple example (with no control flow)
  - Not obvious for complex flow of control

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

### Dead Code Example

• Add control flow to example:

• Is x = y+1' dead code? Is z = x' dead code?

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

### Dead Code Example

• Add control flow to example:

- Statement x = y+1 is not dead code!
- On some executions, value is used later

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

1

## **Dead Code Example**

· Add more control flow:

```
while (c) {
    x = y + 1;
    y = 2 * z;
    if (d) x = y+z;
    z = 1;
}
z = x:
```

• Is 'x = y+1' dead code? Is 'z = x' dead code?

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

## **Dead Code Example**

· Add more control flow:

```
while (c) {
    x = y + 1;
    y = 2 * z;
    if (d) x = y+z;
    z = 1;
}
z = x;
```

- Statement 'x = y+1' not dead (as before)
- Statement 'z = 1' not dead either!
- On some executions, value from 'z=1' is used later

CS 412/413 Spring 2002 Introduction to Compilers

#### Low-level Code

• Much harder to eliminate dead code in low-level code:

```
label L1
            fjump c L2
            x = y + 1;
            y = 2 * z;
            fjump d L3
                                      Are these
            x = y+z;
                                     statements
                                        dead?
             label L3
            z = 1;
            jump L1
             label L2
            z = x;
CS 412/413 Spring 2002
                        Introduction to Compilers
```

### Low-level Code

• Much harder to eliminate dead code in low-level code:

```
label L1
             fjump c L2
             x = y + 1;
             y = 2 * z;
             fjump d L3
                                   It is harder to analyze
             x = y+z;
                                       flow of control
                                      in low level code
             label L3
             z = 1;
             jump L1
             label L2
CS 412/413 Spring 2002
                         Introduction to Compilers
                                                           10
```

### **Optimizations and Control Flow**

- Application of optimizations requires information
   Dead code elimination: need to know if variables are
- Required information:
  - Not explicit in the program

dead when assigned values

- Must compute it statically (at compile-time)
- Must characterize all dynamic (run-time) executions
- Control flow makes it hard to extract information
  - Branches and loops in the program
  - Different executions = different branches taken, different number of loop iterations executed

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

11

### **Control Flow Graphs**

- Control Flow Graph (CFG) = graph representation of computation and control flow in the program


   framework to statically analyze program control-flow
- Nodes are basic blocks = sequences of consecutive non-branching statements
- Edges represent possible flow of control from the end of one block to the beginning of the other
  - There may be multiple incoming/outgoing edges for each block


CS 412/413 Spring 2002


Introduction to Compilers


2


12














# **Edges Going Out**

- Multiple outgoing edges
- Basic block executed next may be one of the successor basic blocks
- Each outgoing edge = outgoing flow of control in some execution of the program



CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

# **Edges Coming In**

- · Multiple incoming edges
- Control may come from any of the successor basic blocks
- Each incoming edge = incoming flow of control in some execution of the program



CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

20

22

# **Building the CFG**

- Currently the compiler represents the program using either High IR or low IR
- Can construct CFG for either of the two intermediate representations
- · Build CFG for High IR
  - Construct CFG for each High IR node
- Build CFG for Low IR
  - Analyze jump and label statements

CS 412/413 Spring 2002


Introduction to Compilers

21

23

# CFG for High-level IR

- CFG(S)= flow graph of high level statement S
- CFG (S) is single-entry, single-exit graph:
  - one entry node (basic block)




Recursively define CFG(S)

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

### **CFG for Block Statement**

• CFG( S1; S2; ...; SN ) =




CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers


### CFG for If-then-else Statement

• CFG ( if (E) S1 else S2 )



4

# CFG for If-then Statement • CFG( if (E) S ) if (E) CFG(S1) CS 412/413 Spring 2002 Introduction to Compilers 25



# **Recursive CFG Construction**

- Nested statements: recursively construct CFG while traversing IR nodes
- Example:

```
while (c) {
       x = y + 1;
       y = 2 * z;
       if (d) x = y+z;
       z = 1;
z = x;
```

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

27

29

### **Recursive CFG Construction**

· Nested statements: recursively construct CFG while traversing IR nodes

```
while (c) {
      x = y + 1;
                               CFG(while)
      y = 2 * z;
      if (d) x = y+z;
                                CFG(z=x)
      z = 1;
}
z = x;
```

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

28

### **Recursive CFG Construction**

· Nested statements: recursively construct CFG while traversing IR nodes


```
if (c)
while (c) {
                                  Т
      x = y + 1;
      y = 2 * z;
                                CFG(body)
      if (d) x = y+z;
      z = 1;
                                   z=x
z = x;
```

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

### **Recursive CFG Construction**

· Nested statements: recursively construct CFG while traversing IR nodes



CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

### **Recursive CFG Construction**

- · Simple algorithm to build CFG
- · Generated CFG
  - Each basic block has a single statement
  - There are empty basic blocks
- Small basic blocks = inefficient
  - Small blocks = many nodes in CFG
  - Compiler uses CFG to perform optimization
  - Many nodes in CFG = compiler optimizations will be time- and space-consuming

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

31

33

### **Efficient CFG Construction**

- Basic blocks in CFG:
  - As few as possible
  - As large as possible
- There should be no pair of basic blocks (B1,B2) such that:
  - B2 is a successor of B1
  - B1 has one outgoing edge
  - B2 has one incoming edge
- There should be no empty basic blocks

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

# Example

if (c)

x = y+1

y = 2\*z

if (d)

z = 1

y+z

• Efficient CFG:

z;

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

## CFG for Low-level IR

· Identify basic blocks as sequences of:

- Non-branching instructions

- Non-label instructions

 No branches (jump) instructions = control doesn't flow out of basic blocks

• No labels instructions = control doesn't flow into blocks

fjump c L2 x = y + 1; y = 2 \* z; fjump d L3 x = y+z; label L3

label L1

32

z = 1; jump L1 label L2 z = x;

34

CS 412/413 Spring 2002 Introduction to Compilers

### CFG for Low-level IR

- Basic block start:
  - At label instructions
  - After jump instructions
- Basic blocks end:
  - At jump instructions
  - Before label instructions

label L1 fjump c L2 x = y + 1; y = 2 \* z; fjump d L3 x = y+z; label L3 z = 1; jump L1 label L2 z = x;

35

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

### CFG for Low-level IR

- Conditional jump:
   2 successors
- Unconditional jump: 1 successor

| X = y + 1; y = 2 \* z; fjump d L3 | X = y + 2; | Label L3 z = 1; jump L1

label L2 z = x;

label L1

fjump c L2

CS 412/413 Spring 2002

Introduction to Compilers

