

Lecture 4: Proofs

Today:

- ▶ Finish our first proof
- ▶ Quantifiers (for all and there exists)
- ▶ Some function properties: injectivity and surjectivity (next time!)
- ▶ Logical negation (next time!)

Announcements:

- ▶ None today

(review) Not good proofs

for any (sets) $A, B, C,$

Claim: $\underbrace{A \cup (B \cap C)}_{LHS} = \underbrace{(A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)}_{RHS}$

Not a proof: Draw Venn diagrams for both sides, note they are the same (only works for the examples you draw, not for all $A, B,$ and C)

examples good, but are not proofs!

Poor style:

$x \in LHS$ means $x \in A$ or $(x \in B$ and $x \in C)$

equivalently $(x \in A$ or $x \in B)$ and $(x \in A$ or $x \in C)$

equivalently $(x \in A \cup B)$ and $(x \in A \cup C)$

i.e. $x \in (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$

- ▶ Relies on logical manipulation
 - ▶ Logically sound, but we haven't explained why
- ▶ Logic doesn't really show what's going on
- ▶ Hard to read
- ▶ Doesn't scale beyond very simple proofs

A good proof \leftarrow for all A, B, C

Claim: $\underbrace{A \cup (B \cap C)}_{\text{LHS}} = \underbrace{(A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)}_{\text{RHS}}$

Proof: Reminders:

- ▶ Defn: $A \cup B := \{x \mid x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$
- ▶ Defn: $A \cap B := \{x \mid x \in A \text{ and } x \in B\}$
- ▶ Defn: $A \subseteq B$ (if) for all $x \in A$, x is in B
- ▶ Defn: $A = B$ if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$

if $X \subseteq Y$
and $Z \subseteq Y$
then $X \cup Z \subseteq Y$.

in the context of a defⁿ, I mean
"if and only if", "means"

WTS $\forall x, P$:
choose arb x

choose arbitrary sets A, B, C . We want to show
in other words, WTS $\text{LHS} \subseteq \text{RHS}$ and $\text{RHS} \subseteq \text{LHS}$.

Step 1: WTS $\text{LHS} \subseteq \text{RHS}$, i.e.
WTS for all $x \in \text{LHS}$, $x \in \text{RHS}$.
Choose arb. value $x \in \text{LHS}$.
Then $x \in A \cup (B \cap C)$, so
either $x \in A$ or $x \in B \cap C$.

Step 2: $\text{RHS} \subseteq \text{LHS}$

In Case 1, if $x \in A$ then
 $x \in A \cup B$ (by defⁿ of \cup), also
 $x \in A \cup C$. So $x \in (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$
 $= \text{RHS}$ ✓

in the second case, where $x \in B \cap C$,
we again WTS that $x \in (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)$.
i.e. we WTS the $x \in A \cup B$ and
that $x \in A \cup C$. But $x \in A \cup B$ since
 $x \in B$ (because $x \in B \cap C$) Similarly
 $x \in C$ so $x \in A \cup C$, as desired.
In Both cases, $x \in \text{RHS}$, ✓

So $\text{LHS} \subseteq \text{RHS}$ and $\text{RHS} \subseteq \text{LHS}$ ✓
so $\text{LHS} = \text{RHS}$.

copied from above
 $\text{RHS} = \underline{(A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C)}$

WTS P and Q ,
have shown
both

WTS P and
 Q , show
both.
need to
fill in to
complete
proof!
(good
exercise!)

Know
or Q ,
consider
both

WTS P or Q ,
show P

Proof techniques

Whenever it would be useful: gather and plug in **definitions**

If you want to **prove** “**for all** $x \in A$, P ”

- ▶ You want to give a proof that works for any $x \in A$
- ▶ Instruct the reader to choose an *arbitrary* x
- ▶ Make no assumptions about x (other than $x \in A$)
- ▶ Give a proof of P for that arbitrary x

If you know “ P **or** Q ” and want to **use** it in a proof:

- ▶ Consider both cases separately
- ▶ Prove your goal in both cases

If you know “ P **and** Q ” and want to **use** it in a proof:

- ▶ You may use P
- ▶ You may use Q

If you want to **prove** “ P **and** Q ”:

- ▶ Prove P and separately prove Q

To prove “ P **or** Q ”:
→ may prove either one.