















Solution 1: synchronized private int numWidgets; public void produce(){ ... synchronized(this){ numWidgets++; } } • It works • But locks can be slow Solution 2: atomic values private AtomicInteger numWidgets; public void produce(){ ... synchronized(this){ numWidgets++; } } • Less powerful • More efficient

```
Atomic Values

Package java.util.concurrent.atomic defines a toolkit of classes that implement atomic values
atomic values support lock-free, thread-safe programming on single variables
class AtomicInteger, AtomicReference<E>, ...
Atomic values extend the idea of volatile
method get(): reads current value like volatile
method set(newValue): writes value like volatile
implements new atomic operations
```

```
Compare and Set (CAS)

| boolean compareAndSet(expectedValue, newValue)
| If value doesn't equal expectedValue, return false
| if equal, store newValue in value and return true
| executes as a single atomic action!
| supported by many processors – as hardware instructions
| does not use locks!

AtomicInteger n = new AtomicInteger(5);
| n.compareAndSet(3, 6); // return false – no change
| n.compareAndSet(5, 7); // returns true – now is 7
```

```
/** Increment n by one. Other threads use n too. */
public static void increment(AtomicInteger n) {
    int i = n.get();
    while (!n.compareAndSet(i, i+1)) {
        i = n.get();
    }
}

// AtomicInteger has increment methods that do this
public int incrementAndGet()
public int addAndGet(int delta)
public int updateAndGet(InUnaryOperator updateFunction)
```

Locks with CAS

```
public class WidgetStore{
    private int numWidgets;

    /** produce widgets */
    public synchronized void produce(){...}
}

public class WidgetStore{
    private int numWidgets;
    private boolean lock;

    /** produce widgets */
    public synchronized void produce(){
        while(!lock.compareAndSet(false, true)){}
        ...
        lock = false;
}
```

Lock-Free Data Structures

- Usable by many concurrent threads
- □ using only atomic actions no locks!
- compare and swap is your best friend
- but it only atomically updates one variable at a time!

Let's look at onel

Lock-free binary search tree [Ellen et al., 2010]
 http://www.cs.vu.nl//~tcs/cm/cds/ellen.pdf

More Concurrency

- Concurrency is actually an OS-level concern
 - Different platforms have different concurrency
 APIs
- Programming languages provide abstractions
- There are lots of techniques for write concurrent programs
 - □ lock (e.g., synchronized), mutex
 - atomic operations
 - semaphores
 - condition variables
 - transactional memory