CS/ENGRD 2110 FALL 2017

Lecture 7: Interfaces and Abstract Classes http://courses.cs.cornell.edu/cs2110

Announcements

A2 is due tomorrow night (17 February)

Get started on A3 – a method every other day.


Time to do A1:

356/416 groups gave the time.

Mean: 5 hours, 22 minutes.

Median: 5 hours exactly.


Time to do A1. On A1 FAQ notes



A Little Geometry!



Position of a rectangle in the plane is given by its upper-left corner



Position of a circle in the plane is given by the upper-left corner of its bounding box

A Little Geometry!

class Shape contains the coordinates of a shape in the plane. Each subclass declares the fields to contain the size and function area

Shape

X _____

У

Write variables as lines instead of boxes

Rectangle

area()

width

height

Triangle

area()

base

height_

Circle

area()

radius 5

Problem: Don't like creation of Shape objects

Abstract Classes

PROBLEM

Since an object of Shape is not really a shape, don't want to allow creation of objects of class Shape!

Solution

public abstract class Shape {
 ...
}

Syntactic rule: if a class C is abstract, the new-expression new C(...) cannot be used!

Shape

X _____

Rectangle

area()
width ____
height

Circle

area() radius 5

Attempt at writing function sumAreas

```
/** Return sum of areas of shapes in s */
public static double sumAreas(Shape[] s) {
    double sum= 0;
    for (int k= 0; k < s.length; k= k+1)
        sum= sum + s[k].area();
    return sum;
    Does this work?</pre>
```

Problems:

1. Use **instanceof** (not getclass()!) to figure out which subclass s[k] is and cast down so that function area() can be called.

Adding new Shape subclass requires modifying sumAreas

A Partial Solution:

Add method area to class Shape:

Problem: a subclass might forget to override area().

Problem: a subclass might still forget to override area().

Abstract Classes

Good solution:

In abstract class Shape, to require all subclasses to override function area, make it abstract:

```
public abstract class Shape {
    ...
    /** Return the area of this shape */
    public abstract double area();
}
```

Syntax:

If a method has keyword abstract in its declaration, use a semicolon instead of a method body

Abstract Summary

1. To make it impossible to create an instance of a class C, make C abstract:

```
public abstract C { ...}
```

2. In an abstract class, to require each subclass to override method m(...), make m abstract:

```
public abstract int m(...);
```

Syntax: the program cannot be compiled if it contains a new-expression new C(...) and C is abstract.

Syntax: the program cannot be compiled if a subclass of an abstract class does not override an abstract method.

Abstract class used to "define" a type (abstract data type)

Type: set of values together with operations on them

Suppose we want to define type Stack (of ints). It's operations are:

```
isEmpty() --return true iff the stack is emptypush(k) --push integer k onto the Stackpop() --pop the top stack element
```

```
public abstract class Stack {
   public abstract boolean isEmpty();
   public abstract void push(int k);
   public abstract int pop();
}
```

Naturally, need specifications

Example of subclasses of Stack

```
public abstract class Stack {
   public abstract boolean isEmpty();
   public abstract void push(int k);
   public abstract int pop();
}
```

```
public class ArrayStack extends Stack{
  private int n; // stack elements are in
  private int[] b; // b[0..n-1]. b[0] is bottom
  /** Constructor: An empty stack of max size s. */
  public ArrayStack(int s) {b= new int[s];}
  public boolean isEmpty() {return n == 0;}
  public void push(int v) { b[n]=v; n=n+1;}
  public int pop() {n= n-1; return b[n]; }
```

Missing lots of tests for errors!
Missing specs!

Example of subclasses of Stack

```
public abstract class Stack {
   public abstract boolean isEmpty();
   public abstract void push(int k);
   public abstract int pop();
}
```

```
public class LinkedListStack extends Stack{
  private int n; // number of elements in stack
  private Node first; // top node on stack
  /** Constructor: An empty stack */
  public LinkedListStack() {}
  public boolean isEmpty() {return n == 0;}
  public void push(int v) { prepend v to list}
  public int pop() { ...}
```

Missing lots of tests for errors!
Missing specs!

Flexibility!

```
public abstract class Stack { ... }
```

public class LinkedListStack extends Stack { ... }

public class ArrayStack extends Stack { ... }

```
/** A class that needs a stack */
public class C {
    Stack st= new ArrayStack(20);
```

Choose an array implementation, max of 20 values

```
Store the public void m() {
    ptr in a variable of type Stack!

Public void m() {
    st.push(5);
    ...
```

Use only methods available in abstract class Stack

Flexibility!

```
public abstract class Stack { ... }
```

public class LinkedListStack extends Stack { ... }

public class ArrayStack extends Stack { ... }

```
/** A class that needs a stack */
public class C { LinkedListStack();
   Stack st= new ArrayStack(20);
   ...
   public void m() {
        ...
        st.push(5);
        ...
   }

   Want to use array? Justine the new-experience of the stack is a stack */
        LinkedListStack();
        Stack st= new ArrayStack(20);
   ...
   public void m() {
        ...
        st.push(5);
        list instack */
        array? Justine new-experience of the stack */
        list instack */
        array? Justine new-experience of the stack */
        list instack */
        array? Justine new-experience of the stack */
        list instack */
        array? Justine new-experience of the stack */
        list instack */
        array? Justine new-experience of the stack */
        list instack */
        array? Justine new-experience of the stack */
        list instack */
        array? Justine new-experience of the stack */
        list instack */
        list i
```

Want to use a linked list instead of an array? Just change the new-expression!

Interfaces

An interface is like an abstract class all of whose components are public abstract methods. Just have a different syntax

We don't tell you immediately WHY Java has this feature, this construct. First let us define the interface and see how it is used. The why will become clear as more and more examples are shown.

(an interface can have a few other kinds of components, but they are limited. For now, it is easiest to introduce the interface by assuming it can have only public abstract methods and nothing else. Go with that for now!)

Interfaces

An interface is like an abstract class all of whose components are public abstract methods. Just have a different syntax

```
public abstract class Stack {
   public abstract boolean isEmpty();
   public abstract void push(int k);
   public abstract int pop();
}
```

Here is an abstract class. Contains only public abstract methods

```
public interface Stack {
   public abstract boolean isEmpty();
   public abstract void push(int k);
   public abstract int pop();
}
```

Here is how we declare it as an interface

Interfaces

```
public abstract class Stack {
  public abstract boolean isEmpty();
  public abstract void push(int k);
  public abstract int pop();
}
```

```
public interface Stack {
   boolean isEmpty();
   void push(int k);
   int pop();
}
```

Since methods have to be public and abstract, we can leave off those keywords.

```
Extend a class
class StackArray
extends Stack {
...
}
```

```
Implement an interface class StackArray implements Stack { ... }
```

A start at understanding use of interfaces

Have this class hierarchy:


```
class Animal { ... }
class Mammal extends Animal { ... }
class Bird extends Animal { ... }
class Human extends Mammal {....}
                                              Animal
class Dog extends Mammal { ... }
class Parrot extends Bird { ... }
                                      Mammal
                                                      Bird
                            Human
                                           Dog
                                                         Parrot
```

A start at understanding use of interfaces

Humans and Parrots can whistle. Other Animals cannot. "listenTo" is given as a whistling method:

public void listenTo(String w) { System.out.println(w); }

We need a way of indicating that classes Human and Parrot have this method listen To



A start at understanding use of interfaces

```
public interface Whistle {
   void listenTo(String w);
public class Human extends Mammal
                    implements Whistle {
                                                  Animal
   public void listenTo(String w) {
      System.out.println(w);
                              Whistle
                                          Mammal
                                                        Bird
(similarly for Parrot)
                                                 Dog
                                                           Parrot
                                    Human
```


Here's what an object of class Human looks like

```
public interface Whistle { void listenTo(String w) ; }
public class Human extends Mammal implements Whistle {
   public void listenTo(String w) { ...}
  Usual drawing of object
                           Draw it this way Add interface
                                               dimension
   Human@1
                                 Animal
                Animal
                                Mammal
                                              Whistle
                Mammal
                Human
                                 Human
```

Here's what an object of class Human looks like

```
public interface Whistle { void listenTo(String w) ; }

public class Human extends Mammal implements Whistle {
    ...
    public void listenTo(String w) { ...}
}
```



A dimension for each class that is extended and interface that is implemented

Here's what an object of class Human looks like

```
Human h= new Human();
Object ob= h;
Animal a= (Animal) ob;
Mammal m= h;
Whistle w= h;
     Animal
    Mammal
                 Whistle
      Human
```

h, ob, a, m, and w all point to the same object.

The object can be (and is) cast to any "partition" in it: h, ob, a, m, and w.

Upward casts: can be implicit; inserted by Java Downward casts: must be explicit

A real use of interface: sorting

Consider an array of Shapes: want to sort by increasing area Consider an array of ints: want to sort them in increasing order Consider an array of Dates: want to put in chronological order

We don't want to write three different sorting procedures!

The sorting procedure should be the same in all cases. What differs is how elements of the array are compared.

So, write ONE sort procedure, tell it the function to be used to compare elements. To do that, we will use an interface.

Interface Comparable<T>

Package java.lang contains this interface

```
public interface Comparable<T> {
    /** = a negative integer if this object < c,
        = 0 if this object = c,
        = a positive integer if this object > c.
        Throw a ClassCastException if c can't
        be cast to the class of this object. */
    int compareTo(T c);
}
```

Real example: Comparable<T>

We implement Comparable<T> in class Shape

```
public abstract class Shape Implements Comparable<Shape> {
  /** Return the area of this shape */
 public abstract double area();
  /** Return negative number, 0, or a positive number
     depending on whether this are is <, =, or > c's area */
  public int compareTo(Shape c) {
     double diff= area() - c.area();
     return diff == 0 ? 0 : (diff < 0 ? -1 : 1);
```

Arrays.sort has this method.

```
/** Sort array b. Elements of b must implement interface Comparable<T>. Its method compareTo is used to determine ordering of elements of b. */
Arrays.sort(Object[] b)
```

```
Shape implements Comparable, so we can write


// Store an array of values in shapes
Shape[] shapes= ...; ...

Arrays.sort(shapes);
```

What an object of subclasses look like

```
public abstract class Shape implements Comparable<Shape>{ ... }
public class Circle extends Shape { ... }
public class Rectangle extends Shape { ... }
```

When sort procedure is comparing elements of a Shape array, each element is a Shape. Sort procedure views it from Comparable perspective!



Abstract Classes vs. Interfaces

- Abstract class represents something
- Share common code between subclasses

- Interface is what something can do. Defines an "abstract data type"
- A contract to fulfill
- Software engineering purpose

Similarities:

- Can't instantiate
- Must implement abstract methods
- Later we'll use interfaces to define "abstract data types"
 - o (e.g. List, Set, Stack, Queue, etc)